United States Patent and Trademark Office - Sales Receipt -

03/16/2006 CBESSAU 00000001 501915 10609323

01 FC:2202 125.00 DA 02 FC:2201 300.00 DA

18

Adjustment date: 08/08/2006 SFELEKE1 03/16/2006 CDESSAU 00000001 501515 10609323 01 FC:2202 125.00 CR 02 FC:2201 300.00 CR

CONLEY ROSE

A Professional Corporation

DALLAS OFFICE

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW INCLUDING PATENTS, TRADEMARKS, COPYRIGHTS AND UNFAIR COMPETITION

GRANITE PARK TWO
5700 GRANITE PARKWAY, SUITE 330
PLANO, TEXAS 75024-6616

HOUSTON OFFICE (713) 238-8000

(972) 731-2288 FACSIMILE (972) 731-2289 AUSTIN OFFICE (512) 391-1900

WWW.CONLEYROSE.COM

Writer's Direct Dial: (972) 731-2229 jbrown@dfw.conleyrose.com

June 23, 2006

Mail Stop 16
Director of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Re:

Request for Refund

U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 10/609,323

Filing Date: June 27, 2003 Our File: 4017-02806

Dear Sir:

We noted the following charges on our March Deposit Account statement in the subject patent application:

\$125.00 on March 16 for claims in excess of 20; and \$300.00 on March 16 for independent claims in excess of three.

An excerpt of the statement with the charges marked is enclosed for your ease of reference.

On June 27, 2003, we filed the application and enclosed our check in the amount of \$459.00. This check covered the filing fee and the 2 excess independent claims (11 total claims; 5 independent). The check has cleared the bank.

On September 14, 2005, we filed an amendment with terminal disclaimer and enclosed our check in the amount of \$490.00. This amount covered the terminal disclaimer fee (\$65.00), 5 excess claims (\$125.00), and 3 excess independent claims (\$300.00) (25 total claims; 8 independent). The check has cleared the bank.

On March 6, 2006, we filed an amendment and the claim count remained the same as noted above: 25 total claims and 8 independent. As such, there was no fee necessary and no check was issued.

June 23, 2006 Page 2

Also enclosed is a copy of the Patent Application Fee Determination Record as downloaded from PAIR. This sheet indicates that the calculation for payment of fees is missing for the September 14, 2005 amendment. We, therefore, believe that the omission of this amendment fee calculation from the USPTO records is the cause of the erroneous charge to our Deposit Account on March 16, 2006.

Thus, we are requesting a refund of these charges in the amount of \$425.00 be credited to our Deposit Account 50-1515, Conley Rose, P.C.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Very truly yours,

CONLEY ROSE, P.C.

Jean Brown Legal Assistant

jeb Enc.

cc: Accounting